



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,718	11/24/2003	Eden Jung-Yu Chen	4006-278	9955
22429	7590	09/06/2006	EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,718	CHEN, EDEN JUNG-YU	
	Examiner Brian A. Zimmerman	Art Unit 2612	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,9 and 11-27 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,9 and 11-27 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

Status of Application

In response to the applicant's amendment received on 7/7/06. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that claims 1,9,11-27 are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this office action:

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tischendorf (5933086) in view of Henderson (6842105)

Tischendorf teaches a key-lock system that includes transmitters and receivers at the key and the lock. The communication between the key and the lock use frequency hopping spread spectrum and include a mode where the lock's list of authorized keys can be modified, col. 21 lines 45+. Upon receipt of a key code, the lock compares the key code to the list of authorized key codes and authorizes unlocking of the lock if the key code is on the list. Tischendorf teaches using encryption prior to the spread spectrum stage to protect the lock code from being "picked," see col. 4 line 40. The encryption in Tischendorf meets the limitations of editing the first data (operator's data) into second data (information data) prior to the spread spectrum transmission stage of the claimed

invention. It is inherent that the receiver of Tischendorf would include decrypting which would read on the claimed editing of the received information into received or first certified data. The lock of Tischendorf is opened when it is determined that the received code is authentic.

In an analogous art, Henderson teaches a key-lock system that includes transmitters and receivers at the key and the lock. The Henderson system operates such that both the key and the lock maintain lists of codes that can be edited and updated, figures 13 and 14. The key and lock each maintain a log of activity to pass on to the central controller for analysis. The communication between the key or the lock and the central controller (external device) use data lines to convey inputs and outputs to and from the central controller to and from the lock or key.

The claims set forth D-A converting and A-D converting. The examiner interprets the references as inherently requiring such elements since the digital data in the references is modulated (D-A) and then demodulated at the receiver (A-D) to communicate the baseband digital data.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Tischendorf does not teach that the first data is edited into information data. The encryption in Tischendorf meets the limitations

Art Unit: 2612

of editing the first data (operator's data) into second data (information data) prior to the spread spectrum transmission stage of the claimed invention.

The applicant argues that Tischendorf does not teach received information data is re-edited as first certified data. It is inherent that the receiver of Tischendorf would include decrypting which would read on the claimed editing of the received information into received or first certified data. The lock of Tischendorf is opened when it is determined that the received code is authentic.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A. Zimmerman whose telephone number is 571-272-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 am to 4 pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 571-272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Brian A Zimmerman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2612

BZ